

Canonical coherent-state representation of some squeeze operators

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 L725

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/21/14/003>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 16:37

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Canonical coherent-state representation of some squeeze operators

Fan Hong-Yi†‡ and John R Klauder§

† Department of Physics, University of New Brunswick, PO Box 4400, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada E3B 5A3 and Department of Modern Physics, China University of Science and Technology, Hefei, Anhui, People's Republic of China

§ AT&T Bell Laboratories, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA

Received 26 April 1988

Abstract. Using the IWOP technique (integration within an ordered product), we find canonical coherent-state representations of two kinds of squeeze operators. These representations manifestly show that they are quantum maps imaged by certain symplectic transformations in coordinate-momentum phase space. In terms of this formalism the coherent-state propagator of parametric amplifiers is easily obtained.

Squeezed states are now of considerable interest because of their potential application to precision interferometry, optical communication and gravitational wave detection [1]. In [2] a new approach for calculating the normally ordered form of the squeeze operators was introduced, which is based on the technique of 'integration within an ordered product' (IWOP) [3] and has the merit of showing the squeezing property from the outset. For the single-mode case, the squeeze operator has the following coherent-state representation:

$$S^{(1)} = \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi(\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2}} |\mu p, q/\mu\rangle\langle p, q| \quad \mu > 0, \mu = e^r \quad (1)$$

$$= \exp(-\frac{1}{2}a^{\dagger 2} \tanh r) \exp[-(a^{\dagger}a + \frac{1}{2}) \ln \cosh r] \exp(\frac{1}{2}a^2 \tanh r). \quad (1')$$

Here $[a, a^{\dagger}] = 1$ and

$$|z\rangle \equiv |p, q\rangle = \exp[i(pQ - qP)]|0\rangle$$

$$= \exp[-\frac{1}{4}(q^2 + p^2) + (1/\sqrt{2})(q + ip)a^{\dagger}]|0\rangle \quad z = \frac{q + ip}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (2)$$

denotes the canonical coherent states [4], which satisfy

$$\int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi} |p, q\rangle\langle p, q| = 1.$$

‡ Permanent address: Department of Modern Physics, China University of Science and Technology, Hefei, Anhui, People's Republic of China.

For the two-mode squeeze operator, the coherent-state representation is given by

$$S^{(2)} = \frac{\cosh \lambda}{(2\pi)^2} \int dp_1 dq_1 dp_2 dq_2 \times \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \lambda & \sinh \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh \lambda & \cosh \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh \lambda & -\sinh \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & -\sinh \lambda & \cosh \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \quad (3)$$

$$= \exp(a^\dagger b^\dagger \tanh \lambda) \exp[(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b + 1) \ln \operatorname{sech} \lambda] \exp(-ab \tanh \lambda) \quad (3')$$

where λ is real, and

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \equiv |p_1 q_1, p_2 q_2\rangle$$

$$= \exp[-\frac{1}{4}(p_1^2 + q_1^2 + p_2^2 + q_2^2) + (a^\dagger/\sqrt{2})(q_1 + ip_1) + (b^\dagger/\sqrt{2})(q_2 + ip_2)]|00\rangle. \quad (4)$$

In this letter, we give the canonical coherent-state representation for another kind of squeeze operator. By directly using the IWOP technique we want to show that the representation of $\exp[-\frac{1}{2}ir(a^2 + a^{\dagger 2})] \equiv U^{(1)}$ is given by

$$U^{(1)} = \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi(\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2}} |p \cosh r - q \sinh r, q \cosh r - p \sinh r\rangle \langle p, q| \quad r \text{ real} \quad (5)$$

while the representation of $\exp[i\lambda(ab + a^\dagger b^\dagger)] \equiv U^{(2)}$ is given by

$$U^{(2)} = \frac{\cosh \lambda}{(2\pi)^2} \int dp_1 dq_1 dp_2 dq_2 \times \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \lambda & 0 & 0 & -\sinh \lambda \\ 0 & \cosh \lambda & -\sinh \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\sinh \lambda & \cosh \lambda & 0 \\ -\sinh \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cosh \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \quad (6)$$

where the matrix denotes a symplectic transformation. The normally ordered form of $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$ can thus be obtained by integrating (5) and (6). Since $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$ are closely related to transformations generated by parametric amplifiers, this formalism leads to the coherent-state propagator for parametric amplifiers in a natural way.

Let us now consider the single-mode case.

Using (2) and

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| =: \exp(-a^\dagger a): \quad (7)$$

$$\exp(\lambda a^\dagger a) =: \exp[(\exp \lambda - 1)a^\dagger a]: \quad (8)$$

as well as the IWOP technique, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 U^{(1)} &= \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi(\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{4}(p \cosh r - q \sinh r)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(q \cosh r - p \sinh r)^2 \\
 &\quad + (a^\dagger/\sqrt{2})[(q \cosh r - p \sinh r) + i(p \cosh r - q \sinh r)]\} |0\rangle \\
 &\quad \times \langle 0| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(q^2 + p^2) + \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}(q - ip)\right) \\
 &= \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi(\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2}} : \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\cosh r)^2(q^2 + p^2) + \frac{qp}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh 2r \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{q}{\sqrt{2}}(a^\dagger \cosh r - ia^\dagger \sinh r + a) + \frac{p}{\sqrt{2}}(ia^\dagger \cosh r - a^\dagger \sinh r - ia) - a^\dagger a\right) : \\
 &= (\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2} : \exp[-\frac{1}{2}i \tanh r (a^2 + a^{\dagger 2}) + a^\dagger a (\operatorname{sech} r - 1)] : \tag{9} \\
 &= \exp(-\frac{1}{2}ia^{\dagger 2} \tanh r) \exp[(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}) \ln \operatorname{sech} r] \exp(-\frac{1}{2}ia^2 \tanh r). \tag{9'}
 \end{aligned}$$

The expression for $U^{(1)}$ in (5) can also be expressed with the help of the symplectic transformation R defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cosh r & -\sinh r \\ -\sinh r & \cosh r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \equiv R \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \\
 |p \cosh r - q \sinh r, q \cosh r - p \sinh r\rangle &= \left| R \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\
 U^{(1)} &= \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi(\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2}} \left| R \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \right|. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\det R = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 U^{(1)\dagger}(R) &= \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi(\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2}} \left| \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle R \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \right| \\
 &= \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi(\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2}} \left| R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \right| = U^{(1)}(R^{-1}). \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (9) with respect to r and using the following relations:

$$\exp(\nu a^{\dagger 2}) a = (a - 2\nu a^\dagger) \exp(\nu a^{\dagger 2}) \tag{12}$$

$$\exp(\nu a^{\dagger 2}) a^2 = (a^2 + 4\nu^2 a^{\dagger 2} - 4\nu a^\dagger a - 2\nu) \exp(\nu a^{\dagger 2}) \tag{13}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial U^{(1)}}{\partial r} &= -\frac{1}{2}ia^{\dagger 2}(\operatorname{sech} r)^2 U^{(1)} - \tanh r \exp(-\frac{1}{2}ia^{\dagger 2} \tanh r)(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}) \\
 &\quad \times \exp[(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}) \ln \operatorname{sech} r] \exp(-\frac{1}{2}ia^2 \tanh r) - \frac{1}{2}i U^{(1)} a^2 (\operatorname{sech} r)^2 \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2}i(a^2 + a^{\dagger 2}) U^{(1)}. \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

With the boundary condition $U^{(1)}(r=0) = 1$ and (11), we find that

$$U^{(1)} = \exp[-\frac{1}{2}ir(a^2 + a^{\dagger 2})] \tag{15}$$

$$U^{(1)\dagger}(R) = U^{(1)-1}(R) = U^{(1)}(R^{-1}) \tag{15'}$$

which indicates that $U^{(1)}$ is unitary. Instead, when r is time dependent, on the other hand, following the same procedures as (12)-(15) we learn that

$$\frac{\partial U^{(1)}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2}i(a^2 + a^{\dagger 2})U^{(1)}(t, t_0)\frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \quad U^{(1)}(t_0, t_0) = 1 \quad r(t_0) = 0. \quad (16)$$

If $\partial r/\partial t \equiv 2f(t)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial U^{(1)}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} &= f(t)(a^2 + a^{\dagger 2})U^{(1)}(t, t_0) \\ &\equiv f(t)\exp(iH_0 t)[a^{\dagger 2}\exp(-2i\omega t) + a^2\exp(2i\omega t)]\exp(-iH_0 t)U^{(1)}(t, t_0) \\ &= H_1(t)U^{(1)}(t, t_0) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$H_1(t) \equiv \exp(iH_0 t)f(t)[a^{\dagger 2}\exp(-2i\omega t) + a^2\exp(2i\omega t)]\exp(-iH_0 t) \quad (18)$$

$$H_0 \equiv \omega a^\dagger a. \quad (19)$$

From (15')-(19) we can identify $U^{(1)}(t, t_0)$ with a unitary time evolution operator in the interaction picture. Thus, in the Schrödinger picture the Hamiltonian which generates squeezing is given by

$$H_S = \omega a^\dagger a + f(t)[a^{\dagger 2}\exp(-2i\omega t) + a^2\exp(2i\omega t)]. \quad (20)$$

When $f(t) = K$, a constant, (20) is just the standard Hamiltonian of a degenerate parametric amplifier discussed, for example, in [5]. According to a standard transformation, the time evolution operator in the Schrödinger picture is given by

$$U_S^{(1)}(t, t_0) = \exp(-iH_0 t)U^{(1)}(t, t_0)\exp(iH_0 t_0) \quad (21)$$

and specifically, for (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_S^{(1)}(t, t_0) &= \exp[-\frac{1}{2}ia^{\dagger 2}\exp(-2i\omega t)\tanh r]\exp\{a^\dagger a[i\omega(t_0 - t) + \ln \operatorname{sech} r]\} \\ &\quad \times \exp[-\frac{1}{2}ia^2\exp(2i\omega t_0)\tanh r](\operatorname{sech} r)^{1/2} \\ r &\equiv 2 \int_{t_0}^t f(t') dt'. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

When $f(t) = K$, we are led to the coherent-state representation of the propagator for a degenerate parametric amplifier

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, t | z_0, t_0 \rangle &\equiv \langle z | U_S(t, t_0) | z_0 \rangle \\ &= \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}i \tanh [2K(t - t_0)][z^{*2}\exp(-2i\omega t) + z_0^2\exp(2i\omega t_0)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(|z|^2 + |z_0|^2) + z^* z_0 \exp[-i\omega(t - t_0)] \\ &\quad \times \operatorname{sech} [2K(t - t_0)]\} \operatorname{sech} \{[2K(t - t_0)]^{1/2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In [5], this result was obtained by path integral techniques.

Now we consider the two-mode case.

The normally ordered form of $U^{(2)}$ in (6) can be obtained by using the IWOP technique and

$$|00\rangle\langle 00| =: \exp(-a^\dagger a - b^\dagger b):$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
 U^{(2)} &= \cosh \lambda \int \frac{dp_1 dp_1' dp_2 dq_2}{4\pi^2} : \exp\{-\frac{1}{4}(p_1'^2 + q_1'^2 + p_2'^2 + q_2'^2 + p_1^2 + q_1^2 + p_2^2 + q_2^2) \\
 &\quad + (1/\sqrt{2})[(q_1' + ip_1')a^\dagger + (q_2' + ip_2')b^\dagger + (q_1 - ip_1)a \\
 &\quad + (q_2 - ip_2)b] - a^\dagger a - b^\dagger b\}: \\
 &= \operatorname{sech} \lambda : \exp(-ia^\dagger b^\dagger \tanh \lambda) \exp[(\operatorname{sech} \lambda - 1)(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b)] \\
 &\quad \times \exp(-iab \tanh \lambda) : \\
 &= \exp(-ia^\dagger b^\dagger \tanh \lambda) \exp[(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b + 1) \ln \operatorname{sech} \lambda] \exp(-iab \tanh \lambda)
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1' &= -q_2 \sinh \lambda + p_1 \cosh \lambda & p_2' &= p_2 \cosh \lambda - q_1 \sinh \lambda \\
 q_1' &= q_1 \cosh \lambda - p_2 \sinh \lambda & q_2' &= q_2 \cosh \lambda - p_1 \sinh \lambda.
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Following the same procedure as used in deriving (14), we find that

$$\partial U^{(2)}/\partial \lambda = -i(a^\dagger b^\dagger + ab)U^{(2)} \quad U^{(2)}(\lambda = 0) = 1 \tag{26}$$

which implies that

$$U^{(2)} = \exp[-i(a^\dagger b^\dagger + ab)\lambda] \tag{27}$$

$$U^{(2)\dagger} = U^{(2)-1}. \tag{27'}$$

Instead, when λ is time dependent, we have

$$\frac{\partial U^{(2)}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} = -i(a^\dagger b^\dagger + ab)U^{(2)}(t, t_0) \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \quad U^{(2)}(t_0, t_0) = 1 \quad \lambda(t_0) = 0. \tag{28}$$

Let $\partial \lambda / \partial t = g(t)$, then (28) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\partial U^{(2)}(t, t_0)/\partial t &= g(t)(ab + a^\dagger b^\dagger)U^{(2)}(t, t_0) \\
 &= g(t) \exp(i\mathbb{H}_0 t) [ab \exp(i\omega_3 t) + a^\dagger b^\dagger \exp(-i\omega_3 t)] \exp(-i\mathbb{H}_0 t) U^{(2)}(t, t_0) \\
 &= \mathbb{H}_I(t) U^{(2)}(t, t_0)
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\mathbb{H}_I(t) \equiv \exp(i\mathbb{H}_0 t) g(t) [ab \exp(i\omega_3 t) + a^\dagger b^\dagger \exp(-i\omega_3 t)] \exp(-i\mathbb{H}_0 t) \tag{30}$$

$$\mathbb{H}_0 \equiv \omega_1 a^\dagger a + \omega_2 b^\dagger b \quad \omega_3 \equiv \omega_1 + \omega_2. \tag{31}$$

Similar to (17), we find the Hamiltonian of the parametric amplifier in the Schrödinger picture

$$\mathbb{H}_S = \omega_1 a^\dagger a + \omega_2 b^\dagger b + g(t) [ab \exp(i\omega_3 t) + a^\dagger b^\dagger \exp(-i\omega_3 t)]. \tag{32}$$

The evolution operator in the Schrödinger picture is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_S^{(2)}(t, t_0) &= \exp(-i\mathbb{H}_0 t) U^{(2)}(t, t_0) \exp(i\mathbb{H}_0 t_0) \\
 &= \operatorname{sech} \lambda \exp[-ia^\dagger b^\dagger \exp(-i\omega_3 t) \tanh \lambda] \\
 &\quad \times \exp[(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b) \ln \operatorname{sech} \lambda + i(t_0 - t)\mathbb{H}_0] \exp[-iab \exp(i\omega_3 t_0) \tanh \lambda]
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

from which the coherent-state representation of the propagator for the parametric amplifier is directly obtained, for $g(t) = K$, as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle z'_1, z'_2, t | z_1, z_2, t_0 \rangle &\equiv \langle z'_1, z'_2 | U_S^{(2)}(t, t_0) | z_1, z_2 \rangle \\
 &= \operatorname{sech}[K(t - t_0)] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z'_1|^2 + |z'_2|^2)\right) \\
 &\quad - i \tanh[K(t - t_0)] [z'_2{}^* z'_1{}^* \exp(-i\omega_3 t) + z_1 z_2 \exp(i\omega_3 t_0)] \\
 &\quad - \operatorname{sech}[K(t - t_0)] \{ \exp[i\omega_1(t_0 - t)] z'_1{}^* z_1 + \exp[i\omega_2(t_0 - t)] z'_2{}^* z_2 \} \quad (34)
 \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with (48) in [5] (except that they have a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the terms which include $\tanh[K(t - t_0)]$).

In summary, we see that the canonical coherent states and the IWOP technique provide a convenient way to study squeeze operators that are generated by ideal parametric amplifiers.

References

- [1] Walls D F 1983 *Nature* **306** 141
- [2] Fan Hong-Yi, Zaidi H R and Klauder J R 1987 *Phys. Rev. D* **35** 1831
- [3] Fan Hong-Yi and Ruan Tu-nan 1984 *Sci. Sin. Ser. A* **27** 392
- [4] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B S 1985 *Coherent States* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [5] Hillery M and Zubairy M S 1982 *Phys. Rev. A* **26** 451